Combinatorial Pharmacogenomic Testing Improves Response and Remission Rates in Patients with Depression

Lisa Brown, PhD¹; <u>John Greden, MD</u>²; Oliver Vranjkovic, PhD¹; James Li, MS¹; Ken Yu, MS¹; Talal Al Habbab, PharmD¹; Holly Johnson, PhD¹; Krystal Brown, PhD³; Michael R. Jablonski, PhD¹; Bryan Dechairo, PhD³

1. Myriad Neuroscience, Mason, OH 2. University of Michigan Comprehensive Depression Center and Department of Psychiatry, and NationalNetwork of Depression Centers, Ann Arbor, MI 3. Myriad Genetics, Salt Lake City, UT

BACKGROUND

- Pharmacogenomic testing has emerged as a possible approach to enhance data-driven treatment decisions for patients with Major Depressive Disorder (MDD).
- The largest prospective RCT was conducted on the clinical utility of PGx testing for the treatment of MDD with the Genomics Used to Improve Depression Decisions (GUIDED) study. Perhaps because all participants struggled with "difficult-to-treat depressions," symptom improvement did not reach significance when compared to treatment as usual, but PGx-guided treatment resulted in significantly higher rates of response and remission.
- Mixed evidence for the utility of pharmacogenomic testing due to differences in tests used, populations studied, and outcomes evaluated.
- Meta-analyses augment consistency of evidence and can be useful in evaluating the overall utility
 of a testing approach for clinical use.

Objective

• We present the results of a meta-analysis of prospective, two-arm studies examining the clinical utility of using the combinatorial pharmacogenomic test, GeneSight® Psychotropic, to inform treatment decisions for patients with MDD who had at least one prior medication failure.

METHODS

- The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Guidelines were utilized for this meta-analysis.
- A systematic search was performed, and all identified reports were screened to identify two-arm, prospective studies evaluating the clinical utility of this specific test that included patients ≥18 years of age diagnosed with MDD who had at least 1 prior medication failure.
- Overall, 1,556 patients were included from 4 studies [2 open-label studies and 2 randomized controlled trials (RCTs)].
- All included studies assessed symptom improvement, response, and remission using the 17-item Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D17).
- The pooled mean effect of symptom improvement and pooled relative risk ratio of response and remission were calculated using a random effects model.
- Sub-analyses were performed according to study type.

REFERENCES: 1. Brown, L. et al., .2020., Pharmacogenomics. doi: 10.2217/pgs-2019-0157 Presented at AAAS on February 8-11, 2021

RESULTS

- Patient outcomes were significantly improved for patients with MDD whose care was guided by the specific combinatorial pharmacogenomic test results compared to unguided-care (Figure 1).
- Heterogeneity in effect size across studies was significant, but moderate, for symptom improvement, but was not significant for response and remission.
- When the open-label studies were assessed separately, symptom improvement and response were significantly improved in the combinatorial pharmacogenomic guided-care group versus unguided-care group.
- When the analysis was restricted to RCTs, all 3 evaluated outcomes were significantly improved in the combinatorial pharmacogenomic guided-care group versus unguided-care group.

Figure 1. Meta-analysis of 4 prospective clinical utility studies of GeneSight® Psychotropic

Forest plot of random-effects meta-analysis of 4 prospective, two-arm studies that examined the clinical utility of GeneSight® Psychotropic in guiding treatment decisions for patients with MDD. (a) Average difference in symptom improvement (b) relative risk ratio for response, and (c) relative risk ratio for remission between guided- and unguided-care. Circle size indicates weight in overall analysis.

	Study	Guided-Care	Unguided-Care	Difference	Δ	95% CI	p-value	Weight
Symptom	Hall-Flavin et al. 2012 Hall-Flavin et al. 2013 Winner et al. 2013 Greden et al. 2019	22 72 25 621	22 93 24 677		12.60 17.00 10.10 3.20	[0.95, 24.25] [8.65, 25.35] [-8.01, 28.21] [-0.24, 6.65]	0.034 <0.001 0.274 0.069	22.1% 27.9% 13.8% 36.1%
A E		740	816		10.08	[1.67, 18.50]	0.019	100.0%
	Heterogeneity: Q=10.62,	I ² =71.75, p=0.014	-1 	0 0 10 20 30)			
	Study	Guided-Care	Unguided-Care	Risk Ratio	Relative Risk Ratio	95% CI	p-value	Weight
onse	Hall-Flavin et al. 2012	22	22		3.50	[0.82, 14.97]	0.091	1.5%
L O	Hall-Flavin et al. 2013	72	93		1.60	[1.04, 2.46]	0.032	16.8%
Q (Winner et al. 2013	25	24	-	1.73	[0.68, 4.41]	0.251	3.6%
Res	Greden et al. 2019	621	677		1.32	[1.08, 1.61]	0.006	78.2%
B.	Random effects model	740	816		1.40	[1.17, 1.67]	<0.001	100.0%
	Heterogeneity: Q=2.43, I ²	² =0.00, p=0.489	0.2	25 0.5 1 2 4 8 16	S			
_	Study	Guided-Care	Unguided-Care	Risk Ratio	Relative Risk Ratio	95% CI	p-value	Weight
<u>.</u>	Hall-Flavin et al. 2012	22	22		2.00	[0.41, 9.79]	0.392	2.2%
SS	Hall-Flavin et al. 2013	72	93		1.42	[0.84, 2.40]	0.189	20.6%
	Winner et al. 2013	25	24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.40	[0.51, 11.25]	0.267	2.4%
Remission	Greden et al. 2019	621	677		1.47	[1.12, 1.93]	0.006	74.8%
ပ	Random effects model	740	816		1.49	[1.17, 1.89]	0.001	100.0%
	Heterogeneity: Q=0.54, I ²	² =0.00, p=0.910	0.2	25 0.5 1 2 4 8 16				

CONCLUSION

- In a meta-analysis of 4 independent studies, all outcomes were significantly improved for patients in the GeneSight® Psychotropic guided-care arm versus unguided-care.
- This meta-analysis adds to the body of evidence supporting the clinical utility of using pharmacogenomic testing to inform medication selection for patients with MDD who have failed at least 1 medication.¹